

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

VIRTAMOVE, CORP., <i>Plaintiff,</i>	§ § § § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00093-JRG (LEAD CASE)
v.		
HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY, <i>Defendant.</i>		

---


VIRTAMOVE, CORP., <i>Plaintiff,</i>	§ § § § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00064-JRG (MEMBER CASE)
v.		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP., <i>Defendant.</i>		

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Joint Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss (the “Motion”) filed by Plaintiff VirtaMove, Corp. (“VirtaMove”) and Defendant International Business Machines Corp. (“IBM”) (collectively, the “Parties”). (Dkt. No. 252.) In the Motion, the Parties move the Court for leave to extend VirtaMove’s August 11, 2025 deadline to respond to IBM’s Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 229) by three days, up to and including August 14, 2025. (*Id.* at 1.)

Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the deadline for VirtaMove to respond to IBM’s Motion to Dismiss is **extended** up to and including **August 14, 2025**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of August, 2025.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE